



To:

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

PCT

NOTIFICATION OF ELECTION

(PCT Rule 61.2)

Commissioner
US Department of Commerce
United States Patent and Trademark
Office, PCT
2011 South Clark Place Room
CP2/5C24

Arlington, VA 22202

Date of mailing (day/month/year) 04 July 2001 (04.07.01)	ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE in its capacity as elected Office
International application No. PCT/US99/23781	Applicant's or agent's file reference 604.10-PCT
International filing date (day/month/year) 12 October 1999 (12.10.99)	Priority date (day/month/year)
Applicant HERRING, Sergio et al	

1.	The designated Office is hereby notified of its election made:
	X in the demand filed with the International Preliminary Examining Authority on:
	17 August 2000 (17.08.00)
	in a notice effecting later election filed with the International Bureau on:
2.	The election X was
	made before the expiration of 19 months from the priority date or, where Rule 32 applies, within the time limit under Rule 32.2(b).

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Authorized officer

H. Zhou

Telephone No.: (41-22) 338.83.38

Facsimile No.: (41-22) 740.14.35



IN THE UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION AUTHORITY (IPEA/US)

International Application Number	International Filing Date	International Earliest Priority Date
PCT/US99/23781	12 October 1999	None

Title of Invention: Individualized Electronic Commercials

Applicant: eCommercial.com, Inc.

International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20 Switzerland

LETTER FOR PCT ARTICLE 19 AMENDMENT OF CLAIMS (PCT SECTION 205)

- 1. Applicant herewith submits replacement sheets(s) number(ed) 14 15 to replace sheet(s) number(ed) 14 15, originally filed for this application.
- 2. In respect of each claim appearing in the international application based on the replacement sheets submitted herewith, and in accordance with PCT Section 205, the following claim(s) is/are:

(i) unchanged: claim(s): 2-4, 6-8, 10

(ii) cancelled: claim(s): none

(iii) new: claim(s): none

(iv) replacement of one or more claims as filed, as follows: 1, 5, 9, 11-17

(v) the result of the division of one or more claims as filed, as follows: none

Dear Sir:

This letter responds to the Search Report dated 13 January 2000.

Substitute Claim Sheets

Please substitute the attached substitute claim sheets numbered 14 & 15 for the previously filed claim sheets numbered 14 & 15. Claim 1 is amended to recite that (a) the individualized commercials are created <u>subsequent</u> to selecting the prospects, (b) <u>each</u> of at least some of the targeted prospects receive an individualized commercial, (c) the individualized commercials are <u>created</u> rather than merely being produced, and (d) the

targeted prospect for the campaign are selected at least in part based upon a marketing characteristic. Claims 5 and 9 are amended to improve clarity. Claims 11-17 are amended to recite "creation" and "creating" rather than "production" and "producing".

Cited References

The Office indicated that all claims are anticipated by Angles et al. (US 5933811), Robinson (5918014) or Dedrick (5717923). The applicant disagrees with that assessment for the reasons set forth immediately below, especially as the claims have been amended herein.

Angles et al.

The Angles et al. abstract teaches that "upon receiving the advertising request, the advertising computer generates a <u>custom advertisement</u> based upon the consumer's profile." Other supporting language in the specification proper is similar. In the Summary of the Invention section, Angles et al. state that his system provides "an on-line advertising service which can customer tailor specific advertisements to particular consumers and track consumer responses to the advertisements" (Spec. col. 2, lines 45 - 49). The term "customized advertisements" is also employed at spec. col. 3, lines 14, 18-19, again at col. 13, line 49, and elsewhere throughout the specification.

While this may sound somewhat similar to the subject matter of the pending claims if the exact language is taken out of context, there are huge differences once the entire specification is considered. Careful reading of the specification reveals that Angles et al. interpret the term "custom advertisement" to mean <u>custom selection of a pre-existing advertisement</u>, rather than in <u>the custom creation of new advertisements</u> as presently claimed.

Basically, Angels et al. teach an advertising computer 18 using "a consumer profile to select an appropriate advertisement from the advertisement database 70". (spec. col. 15, beginning line 22). That advertisement is then stored on the consumer's own computer 12 in a storage area described as the advertising storage medium 44 (col. 11, line 66 to col. 12, lines 11). The advertising computer 18 then directs the consumer's computer 12 to fetch a web page from a content provider computer 14, to add one of the advertisements previously stored on the consumer's computer as an insert, and then display the web page with advertising insert to the consumer (spec. col. 12, lines 41-60).

It is thus the web page that is being customized adding a canned advertisement, not customization of the advertisement itself. Even where Angles et al. refer to the insert containing a customized advertisement (spec. col. 12, line 57), that advertisement is only customized to the extent that is has been selected from a group of preexisting advertisements. To use Angles et al.'s own words, "[t]he advertising module 62 uses the consumer member code 22 to obtain a consumer member profile from the registration database 68... the advertising module then uses the consumer profile to select an appropriate advertisement from the advertisement database 70." (Spec, col. 15, lines 20-25, emphasis

مغد عث

added). In short, Angle's entire customization process as far as advertisements are concerned is selection of pre-existing advertisements, not creation of new advertisements.

Since this distinction may not have been claimed as clearly as possible in the originally filed claims, claim 1 (and all other claims by virtue of their dependency on claim 1) are amended herein to recite that the individualized commercials are created subsequent to selecting the prospects. To further clarify matters, additional modifications are made to recite that (a) each of at least some of the targeted prospects receive an individualized commercial, and (b) that the individualized commercials are created rather than merely being produced.

Claim 1 is thus amended as follows:

- 1. A method of conducting an advertising campaign, comprising:
 selecting a plurality of targeted prospects for the campaign;
 identifying a marketing characteristic for at least some of the targeted prospects;
 defining a group of components to be included in the campaign, for which there are
 at least two alternatives;
 - subsequently creating a new individualized commercial for each of the at least some of the plurality of targeted prospects [producing a number of individualized commercials] by automatically assembling at least one of the alternatives for each of the components in the group based at least in part upon on the marketing characteristic; and
 - electronically transmitting at least one of the <u>individualized</u> commercials to a recipient having the marketing characteristic upon which the commercial being transmitted was based.

There are several other limitations recited in the dependent claims that are not satisfied by Angles et al. Claim 2 recites that at least some of the commercials are transmitted as executable files. There is no teaching, suggestion or motivation in Angles et al. to use executable files. Angles et al. contemplate using the advertisements as inserts in web pages, which means that they are executed by the web browser rather than being executable files themselves.

Claim 3 recites authentication of the executable files. Not only does Angles et al. fail to teach transmitting the advertisements as executable files, but there is no teaching, suggestion or motivation in Angles et al. to <u>authenticate</u> the files.

Claim 5 (as amended) recites creating the individualized commercials using a marketing characteristic obtained from information provided in response to a previous electronic commercial. There is no teaching, suggestion or motivation in Angles et al. to use a marketing characteristic obtained from a response to a previous commercial. Angles et al. contemplate that information obtained about the consumer is inputted through a registration form (spec., col. 16, beginning line 57). There is no indication that the registration form is completed in response to a previous commercial, let alone a previous individualized commercial.

Claims 6, 7, 8, and 16 recite inclusion of a video clip in an individualized commercial. There is no teaching, suggestion or motivation in Angles et al. to use <u>video clips in the advertisement</u>, as opposed to a web page that displays the advertisement as an insert.

Claim 10 recites that the commercial is transmitted as using Internet e-mail. There is no teaching, suggestion or motivation in Angles et al. to send the commercial via e-mail, and in fact Angles at al. would much more likely transmit their contemplated advertisements using some other media because they are loaded from the advertiser's computer onto the consumer's computer in background mode.

Claims 11, 12, and 16 (as amended) recite <u>transmitting of at least 10% of the commercials occurs within 24, 2, and 24 hours of their creation</u>, respectively. These limitations are completely contrary to Angles, et al., that teach selecting and transmitting pre-formed advertisements to the consumers. Since the advertisements are intended to be included as inserts in web pages of content providers, it is extremely likely that the advertisements would be prepared weeks or even months in advance so that the content provider's could approve or disapprove of having their content being used in conjunction with the advertisements. There is no teaching, suggestion or motivation for the claimed time limits.

Claims 14,15, and 17 (as amended) recite <u>creating an average of at least one of the individualized commercials for every 50,10, and 500 of the targeted prospects,</u> respectively. These limitations are also completely contrary to Angles, et al., that teach selecting and transmitting pre-formed advertisements to the consumers. Since the advertisements are intended to be included as inserts in web pages of content providers, it is extremely likely that there would be only a relatively limited number of advertisements so that the content provider's could approve or disapprove of having their content being used in conjunction with the various advertisements.

Robinson

Robinson teaches systems for determining which of many pre-existing advertisements to select and send to which recipients, based upon classification of each recipient into a "community" of others having similar preferences with respect to non-advertising "content". (Spec., col. 1, line 66 - col. 2, line 3). Different recipients do indeed get different advertisements, but those advertisements are not created individually for the various recipients as presently claimed.

Here again there is no anticipation because the reference teaches custom selection of pre-existing advertisements, not creation of new advertisements following identification of a target population that is to receive the advertisements. Even Robinson claim 1 recites that the advertisements are merely selected from a pre-existing group ("means for determining which of the one or more advertisements to show the subject based on characteristics of the subject's community").

Other citations demonstrating this distinction abound. For example, in the Summary of the Invention section Robinson teaches sending out a new ad "randomly or on a fixed schedule to a certain number of users" to obtain information on the kinds of advertisements those users want to see. Such ads are definitely not individualized to recipients because the same ad is sent out indiscriminately to the entire group. Robinson also teaches that "[a] number of factors can be used by the software in determining which ads to show." Use of the term "which ads" necessitates there being pre-existing ads, rather than creating new ads for individual recipients as presently claimed. As another example, Robinson teaches rotating a recipient through a series of advertisements (spec., col. 4, lines 55-67). The fact that there is a series of ads to be rotated through implies that the ads are not individualized to specific recipients. Still further, advertisers are given an opportunity to select which ads would be displayed with which content - which again through implies that the ads are pre-existing, and not individualized to specific recipients. (spec., col. 5, line 27-42).

There are several other limitations recited in the dependent claims that are not satisfied by Angles et al. Claim 2 recites that at least some of the commercials are transmitted as executable files. There is no teaching, suggestion or motivation in Angles et al. to use executable files. Angles et al. contemplate using the advertisements as inserts in web pages, which means that they are executed by the web browser rather than being executable files themselves.

Robinson is no better at anticipating the dependent claims than Angles et al. With respect to claim 3, or example, Robinson fails to teach transmitting of advertisements as executable files, and there is no teaching, suggestion or motivation in Robinson to authenticate the files. The entire discussion assumes that the user is viewing content (and indeed the advertising) with a web browser. The Smart Ad Box described at Spec., col. 4, beginning at line 38, is very much assuming that the user is accessing advertising through a web site, which assumes the use of a web browser. There is certainly no teaching, suggestion or motivation to download advertising to the user in the form of an executable file.

With respect to claim 5 (as amended), Robinson fails to teach using a marketing characteristic obtained from a response to <u>a previous commercial</u>, let alone a previous <u>individualized</u> commercial.

With respect to claims 6, 7, 8, and 16, Robinson fails to teach, suggest or motivate one to use <u>video clips in the advertisement</u>, as opposed to a web page that displays the advertisement as an insert.

With respect to claim 10, Robinson fails to teach, suggest or motivate one to use e-mail to transmit commercials. Robinson teaches the contrary, placing of advertisements in web pages referred to as Smart Ad Boxes (spec., col. 4, beginning line 7).

With respect to claims 11, 12, and 16 (as amended), Robinson fails to teach, suggest or motivate one to transmit commercials within a specified time of their creation. Moreover, Robinson is similar to Angles et al. in teaching that content providers would have decision making control over advertisements used in conjunction with their content.

That teaching only makes sense if the advertisements are produced weeks or months before their use, not hours or days as claimed.

The same applies to claims 14,15, and 17 (as amended). Robinson fails to teach, suggest or motivate one to create individualized commercials at all - instead opting to custom select advertisements from a storehouse of previously designed commercials.

Dedrick

Dedrick teaches "dynamically customizing electronic information" using a content adapter that relies upon information in a personal profile database. Here again, the language may sound somewhat similar to the presently claimed subject matter, but only if it is taken out of context.

For one thing, Dedrick contemplates that a client system (i.e., the computer of a consumer or recipient) stores both the personal profile database and a content adapter. When generic advertisements are transmitted to the client system, the content adapter modifies the advertisements and presents them to the client. That teaching runs directly contrary to the presently claimed subject matter because it pre-supposes transmission of generic, unmodified advertisements. The pending claims all require transmission of individualized commercials to a recipient, not generic advertisements that are subsequently individualized.

In addition, Dedrick contemplates that the consumer will himself order the advertisement, rather than the consumer being the target of an advertising campaign.

Still further, Dedrick contemplates that the advertisement is modified according to a consumer preference, as opposed to a marketing characteristic as presently claimed. Thus, Dedrick contemplates that the consumer's content adapter would modify a generic advertisement to display a preferred color scheme, or perhaps to use text rather than audio. (Spec., col. 4, lines 44-65). That sort of preference is different from a marketing characteristic such as gender, age, income level, and so forth as set forth in the pending specification. (eCommercial spec, page 6, lines 1-5).

The differences between Dedrick and the presently claimed subject matter are are not merely design choices. Dedrick purposely locates the personal profile database at the client side (consumer's computer) because he is concerned about privacy of any personal information (see e.g., spec. at col. 1, lines 51-58). In preferred embodiments the user even gets to modify his profile, which is generally not true of profiles held by advertisers. Dedrick also locates the content adapter at the client side, (see figures), presumably because that makes distribution of the advertisements relatively easy.

Turning to the dependent claims, we again see that the various additional limitations are not satisfied by the reference. Dedrick is completely silent on the idea of transmitting commercials as [stand-alone] executable files (claim 2), let alone authenticated files (claim 3). As discussed above Dedrick does not rely on marketing characteristic selected from the group consisting of age, sex, and income (claim 4), nor is there any reason to interpret Dedrick as contemplating that the marketing characteristic includes data obtained from

information provided in response to a previous electronic commercial. Dedrick is silent on the use of use video clips in the advertisements as opposed to web pages containing the advertisements (claims 6, 7, 8, 16), using e-mail to transmit the commercials (claim 10), transmitting commercials within a specified time of their creation (claims 11, 12, 16), or using a specified minimum percentage of individualized commercials in a campaign (claims 14, 15, 17).

Conclusion

None of the references anticipate or render the presently filed claims obvious. Angles et al. and Robinson, by themselves or in combination have anything at all to do with individualizing commercials, but instead teach selecting pre-existing commercials according to one or another schemes. Dedrick teaches customizing advertisements, but only at the client side so that the customized advertisements are never transmitted to a recipient as part of an advertising campaign. Neither are there any teachings, suggestions, or motivations relating to most of the various limitations in the dependent claims, including transmitting commercials as [stand-alone] executable files, authentication of commercials, use video clips in the advertisements as opposed to web pages containing the advertisements, using e-mail to transmit the commercials, transmitting commercials within a specified time of their creation, or using a specified minimum percentage of individualized commercials in a campaign.

Request for Conference

The present application is of the greatest personal importance to the applicant. If after considering the above arguments and the claim modifications the Authorized Officer still considers the claims to be unpatentable over the prior art, the undersigned would appreciate the opportunity to discuss this matter directly. This could be accomplished by telephone, or if the Authorized Officer finds it appropriate, the undersigned would be pleased to come to Washington to discuss the same, and perhaps develop claims that would be considered allowable.

Respectfully submitted, Fish & Associates, LLP

Dated: 6/3/00

Robert D. Fish Reg. No. 33,880

Attorneys for Applicant(s) 1440 N. Harbor Blvd, Suite 706

Fullerton, CA 92385 Tel.: (714) 449-2337 Fax: (714) 449-2339

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

REC'D 3 0 OCT 2001

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORTINO

PO PCT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	T		
604.10-PCT	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Notification of Transmittal of Preliminary Examination Report (Form	
International application No.	International filing date (day/m	onth/year) Priority date (day/month/	year)
PCT/US99/23781	12 OCTOBER 1999	NONE	
International Patent Classification (IPC) IPC(7): G06F 17/30; G06F 17/60 and	or national classification and IPC US Cl.: 705/14, 27		
Applicant MINDARROW SYSTEM, INC.			
Examining Authority and is 2. This REPORT consists of a t This report is also accompleen amended and are the	transmitted to the applicant a total of sheets. panied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheet basis for this report and/or she ion 607 of the Administrative I	s of the description, claims and/or draw	ings which have
3. This report contains indications relating to the following items: I			
Date of submission of the demand	Date of	completion of this report	:
17 AUGUST 2000		SEPTEMBER 2001	
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/U Commissioner of Patents and Tradema Box PCT Washington, D.C. 20231 Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230	rks	ICENT MILLIN Page HC	mod



INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US99/23781

1.	B	asis o	of the repo	ort			
1.	. With	regai	rd to the elem	ments of the intern	ational application: *		
	\Box	the	internation	al application as	originally filed		
	$\overline{\mathbf{x}}$		description				
	لکا	page	es	(See Attached)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, as originally filed
		page	es				
		page	es		, filed with the letter	of	
		the	claims:				
	X			(See Attached)			as originally filed
					, as amended (togethe		
		page	es		, filed with the letter of		
	_						
	X		drawings:				
					, filed with the letter of		
		page		*	, filed with the letter of		
	\mathbf{x}	the s	sequence li	sting part of the c	lescription:		
	ليبيا	page	es	(See Attached)			, as originally filed
		page	es				, filed with the demand
		page	es		, filed with the letter of	•	•
2.	• h		لحجم لمحمنات	instina some filade	nents marked above were available or furnis unless otherwise indicated under this item. hed to this Authority in the following langu		
	\sqcup	the l	anguage of	f a translation fu	rnished for the purposes of internation	nal search (un	der Rule 23.1(b)).
		the l	anguage of	f publication of	the international application (under Ru	ule 48.3(b)).	
		the la		the translation fun	nished for the purposes of international pre	eliminary exam	ination (under Rules 55.2 and/
3.	Wit	h reg	ard to any		r amino acid sequence disclosed in the out on the basis of the sequence listing		pplication, the international
	Ш	conta	ained in th	e international a	pplication in printed form.		
	П	filed	together v	with the internati	onal application in computer readable	form.	
	=		_		Authority in written form.		
		furni	shed subse	equently to this A	Authority in computer readable form.		
		The s	statement th	hat the subsequer plication as filed	tly furnished written sequence listing do has been furnished.	oes not go bey	ond the disclosure in the
			statement th	at the information	recorded in computer readable form is ide	entical to the w	vriten sequence listing has
4 X The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:							
		[x]	the descr	iption, pages	NONE		
		X		is, Nos.	NONE		
		$\sqrt{\mathbf{x}}$		ings, sheets /fig	NONE		
<	\Box	m.				dia dia anatana 1	b
5.	Ш		-		ome of) the amendments had not been mad indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 7)	•	ave been considered to go
*	in th	ceme	nt sheets who	ich have been fumi.	shed to the receiving Office in response to an are not annexed to this report since they a	invitation unde	r Anicle 14 are referred to amendments (Rules 70.16
*				et containing such	amendments must be referred to under ite	em 1 and annex	ced to this report.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US99/23781

Novelty (N) Claims Claims Inventive Step (IS) Claims Claims Industrial Applicability (IA) Claims Claims Claims I-17 Claims NONE Industrial Applicability (IA) Claims Claims I-17 Claims NONE citations and explanations (Rule 70.7) Claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(4), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a method for conducting an advertising campaing the steps of subsequently creating a new individualized commercial for each of the amplease of a plurality of targeted prospects by automatically assembling at least one or a cach of the components in the group based at least in part on the marketing characteristic, and electronic ransmitting at least one of the individualized commercials to a recipient having the marketing characteristic upon which commercial being transmitted was based. NEW CITATIONS NEW CITATIONS NEW CITATIONS NEW CITATIONS	soned statement under Article 35(2 tions and explanations supporting s) with rega uch statem	rd to novelty, inventive step or ent	· industrial applicability
Inventive Step (IS) Claims Claims Industrial Applicability (IA) Claims Claims Industrial Applicability (IA) Claims Claims I-17 Claims NONE Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7) Claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(4), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a method for conducting an advertising campaign, comprising the steps of subsequently creating a new individualized commercial for each of the at least some of a plurality of targeted prospects by automatically assembling at least one of alternatives for each of the components in the group based at least in part on the marketing characteristic, and electronic ransmitting at least one of the individualized commercials to a recipient having the marketing characteristic upon which commercial being transmitted was based.	ement			
Inventive Step (IS) Claims Claims Industrial Applicability (IA) Claims Claims Industrial Applicability (IA) Claims Claims I-17 Claims NONE Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7) Claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(4), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a method for conducting an advertising campaign, comprising the steps of subsequently creating a new individualized commercial for each of the at least some of a plurality of targeted prospects by automatically assembling at least one of alternatives for each of the components in the group based at least in part on the marketing characteristic, and electronic ransmitting at least one of the individualized commercials to a recipient having the marketing characteristic upon which commercial being transmitted was based.	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-17	Y
Industrial Applicability (IA) Claims NONE Claims NONE Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims NONE Claims Claims Claims Claims NONE Claims Claims Claims NONE Claims Claims NONE Claims Claims Claims NONE Claims Claims NONE Claims Claims NONE Claims Claims NONE Claims NONE Claims Claims NONE Claims NONE Claims Claims NONE Claims NONE Claims NONE Claims Claims NONE Claims NONE Claims NONE Claims Claims NONE Cla				N
Industrial Applicability (IA) Claims NONE Claims NONE Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims NONE Claims Claims Claims Claims NONE Claims Claims Claims NONE Claims Claims NONE Claims Claims Claims NONE Claims Claims NONE Claims Claims NONE Claims Claims NONE Claims NONE Claims Claims NONE Claims NONE Claims Claims NONE Claims NONE Claims NONE Claims Claims NONE Claims NONE Claims NONE Claims Claims NONE Cla			_	_
Industrial Applicability (IA) Claims Claims NONE Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7) Claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(4), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a nethod for conducting an advertising campaign, comprising the steps of subsequently creating a new individualized commercial for each of the at least some of a plurality of targeted prospects by automatically assembling at least one of alternatives for each of the components in the group based at least in part on the marketing characteristic, and electronic ransmitting at least one of the individualized commercials to a recipient having the marketing characteristic upon which commercial being transmitted was based. NEW CITATIONS NEW CITATIONS	inventive Step (IS)			
Claims NONE citations and explanations (Rule 70.7) Claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(4), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a method for conducting an advertising campaign, comprising the steps of subsequently creating a new individualized commercial for each of the at least some of a plurality of targeted prospects by automatically assembling at least one of alternatives for each of the components in the group based at least in part on the marketing characteristic, and electronic ransmitting at least one of the individualized commercials to a recipient having the marketing characteristic upon which commercial being transmitted was based. NEW CITATIONS		Claims	NONE	١
Claims NONE citations and explanations (Rule 70.7) Claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(4), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a method for conducting an advertising campaign, comprising the steps of subsequently creating a new individualized commercial for each of the at least some of a plurality of targeted prospects by automatically assembling at least one of alternatives for each of the components in the group based at least in part on the marketing characteristic, and electronic ransmitting at least one of the individualized commercials to a recipient having the marketing characteristic upon which commercial being transmitted was based. NEW CITATIONS				
citations and explanations (Rule 70.7) Claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(4), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a nethod for conducting an advertising campaign, comprising the steps of subsequently creating a new individualized commercial for each of the at least some of a plurality of targeted prospects by automatically assembling at least one of alternatives for each of the components in the group based at least in part on the marketing characteristic, and electronic ransmitting at least one of the individualized commercials to a recipient having the marketing characteristic upon which commercial being transmitted was based. NEW CITATIONS	Industrial Applicability (IA)			\
Claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(4), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a method for conducting an advertising campaign, comprising the steps of subsequently creating a new individualized commercial for each of the at least some of a plurality of targeted prospects by automatically assembling at least one of alternatives for each of the components in the group based at least in part on the marketing characteristic, and electronic ransmitting at least one of the individualized commercials to a recipient having the marketing characteristic upon which commercial being transmitted was based. NEW CITATIONS		Claims	NONE	Λ
	ercial being transmitted was based.		o a recipient having the marketing c	haracteristic upon which the
			•	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US99/23781

Supplemental Box

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

Continuation of: Boxes I - VIII

Sheet 10

I. BASIS OF REPORT:

This report has been drawn on the basis of the description, page(s) 1-13, as originally filed.
page(s) NONE, filed with the demand.
and additional amendments:
NONE

This report has been drawn on the basis of the claims, page(s) NONE, as originally filed.
page(s) NONE, as amended under Article 19.
page(s) 14-15, filed with the demand.
and additional amendments:
NONE

This report has been drawn on the basis of the drawings, page(s) 1, as originally filed.
page(s) NONE, filed with the demand.
and additional amendments:
NONE

This report has been drawn on the basis of the sequence listing part of the description: page(s) NONE, as originally filed.
pages(s) NONE, filed with the demand.
and additional amendments:
NONE

IPEA/US 17 AUG 2000

CLAIMS

What is claimed is:

- A method of conducting an advertising campaign, comprising:
 selecting a plurality of targeted prospects for the campaign;
 identifying a marketing characteristic for at least some of the targeted prospects;
 defining a group of components to be included in the campaign, for which there are at
 least two alternatives;
 - subsequently creating a new individualized commercial for each of the at least some of the plurality of targeted prospects by automatically assembling at least one of the alternatives for each of the components in the group based at least in part upon on the marketing characteristic; and
 - electronically transmitting at least one of the individualized commercials to a recipient having the marketing characteristic upon which the commercial being transmitted was based.
- 2. The method of claim 1 wherein the step of electronically transmitting comprises transmitting at least some of the commercials as executable files.
- 3. The method of claim 2 wherein at least some of the executable files are authenticated.
- 4. The method of claim 2 wherein the marketing characteristic is selected from the group consisting of age, sex, and income.
- 5. The method of claim 2 wherein the marketing characteristic includes data obtained from information provided in response to a previous individualized electronic commercial.
- 6. The method of claim 2 wherein the group of components includes at least three visual components and at least one audio component.
- 7. The method of claim 2 wherein at least one of the components comprises a video clip and at least another of the components comprises an audio clip.
- 8. The method of claim 2 wherein at least one of the components comprises a video clip and at least another of the components comprises an audio clip, and at least a third component comprises a branding graphic distinct from both the video clip and the audio clip.

- 9. The method of claim 2 wherein a variability in the group of components comprises a language employed on an audio clip.
- 10. The method of claim 2 wherein the step of electronically transmitting comprises sending an e-mail through the Internet.
- 11. The method of claim 2 wherein transmitting of at least 10% of the commercials occurs within 24 hours of their creation.
- 12. The method of claim 2 wherein transmitting of at least 10% of the commercials occurs within 2 hours of their creation.
- 13. The method of claim 2 wherein the step of creating comprises producing an average of at least one of the individualized commercials for every 500 of the targeted prospects.
- 14. The method of claim 2 wherein the step of creating comprises producing an average of at least one of the individualized commercials for every 50 of the targeted prospects.
- 15. The method of claim 2 wherein the step of creating comprises producing an average of at least one of the individualized commercials for every 10 of the targeted prospects.
- 16. The method of claim 2 wherein the marketing characteristics include age, sex, and income, and at least one of the components is a video clip and at least another of the components is an audio clip, and transmitting of at least 10% of the commercials occurs within 24 hours of their creation.
- 17. The method of claim 15 wherein the step of producing comprises creating an average of at least one of the individualized commercials for every 500 of the targeted prospects.



1/4

PCT REQUEST

604.10-PCT

Original (for SUBMISSION) - printed on 12.10.1999 03:54:13 PM

0	For receiving Office use only	
0-1	International Application No.	
0-2.	International Filing Date	
0-3	Name of receiving Office and "PCT International Application"	
0-4	Form - PCT/RO/101 PCT Request	
0-4-1	Prepared using	PCT-EASY Version 2.84 (updated 01.07.1999)
0-5	Petition The undersigned requests that the present international application be processed according to the Patent Cooperation Treaty	
0-6	Receiving Office (specified by the applicant)	United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) (RO/US)
0-7	Applicant's or agent's file reference	604.10-PCT
l	Title of invention	INDIVIDUALIZED ELECTRONIC COMMERCIALS
11	Applicant	
ll-1	This person is:	applicant only
11-2	Applicant for	all designated States except US
II - 4	Name	ECOMMERCIAL.COM, INC.
11-5	Address:	95 Enterprise
		Suite 360
		Aliso Viejo, CA 92656
		United States of America
11-6	State of nationality	US
11-7	State of residence	US
II-8	Telephone No.	949-916-8705
II- 9	Facsimile No.	949-916-8713
ill-1	Applicant and/or inventor	
III-1-1	This person is:	applicant and inventor
111-1-2	Applicant for	US only
III-1-4	Name (LAST, First)	MCEWAN, Rick
III-1-5	Address:	eCommercial.com, Inc.
		95 Enterprise
		Suite 360
		Aliso Viejo, CA 92656
		United States of America
III-1 <i>-</i> 6	State of nationality	US
III-1-7	State of residence	US

Original (for SUBMISSION) - printed on 12.10.1999 03:54:13 PM

111-2	Applicant and/or inventor	<u> </u>
III-2 III-2-1	This person is:	applicant and inventor
111-2-2	Applicant for	US only
111-2-4	Name (LAST, First)	HERRING, Sergio
III-2-5	Address:	-
0	,	eCommercial.com, Inc.
		95 Enterprise Suite 360
	·	Aliso Viejo, CA 92656
111-2-6	State of nationality	United States of America
111-2-7		US
	State of residence	US
IV-1	Agent or common representative; or address for correspondence	
	The person identified below is	agent
	hereby/has been appointed to act on behalf of the applicant(s) before the	
	competent International Authorities as:	
IV-1-1	Name (LAST, First)	FISH, Robert
IV-1-2	Address:	Crockett & Fish
		1440 N. Harbor Blvd.
		Suite 706
		Fullerton, CA 92835
		United States of America
IV-1-3	Telephone No.	714-449-2337
IV-1-4	Facsimile No.	714-449-2339
IV-1-5	e-mail	rdfish@crockett-fish.com
V	Designation of States	
V-1	Regional Patent (other kinds of protection or treatment, if	AP: GH GM KE LS MW SD SL SZ UG ZW and
	any, are specified between parentheses	any other State which is a Contracting
	after the designation(s) concerned)	State of the Harare Protocol and of the
		PCT
	· ·	EA: AM AZ BY KG KZ MD RU TJ TM and any
		other State which is a Contracting State
		of the Eurasian Patent Convention and of
		the PCT
		EP: AT BE CHELI CY DE DK ES FI FR GB GR
		IE IT LU MC NL PT SE and any other State
		which is a Contracting State of the
		European Patent Convention and of the
		PCT
		OA: BF BJ CF CG CI CM GA GN GW ML MR NE
		SN TD TG and any other State which is a
		member State of OAPI and a Contracting
		State of the PCT
	1	

Original (for SUBMISSION) - printed on 12.10.1999 03:54:13 PM

V-2	National Patent (other kinds of protection or treatment, if	AE AL AM AT (patent a	and utility model)
	any, are specified between parentheses	AU AZ BA BB BG BR BY	CA CHELI CN CR CU
	after the designation(s) concerned)	CZ (patent and utili	ty model) DE (patent
		and utility model) Di	K (patent and
		utility model) DM EE	(patent and utility
		model) ES FI (patent	-
		GB GD GE GH GM HR HU	ID IL IN IS JP KE
		KG KP KR KZ LC LK LR	LS LT LU LV MD MG
		MK MN MW MX NO NZ PL	PT RO RU SD SE SG
		SI SK (patent and ut:	ility model) SL TJ
		TM TR TT TZ UA UG US	UZ VN YU ZA ZW
V-5	Precautionary Designation Statement	<u>.</u>	
	In addition to the designations made under items V-1, V-2 and V-3, the		
	applicant also makes under Rule 4.9(b)		
	all designations which would be		
	permitted under the PCT except any designation(s) of the State(s) indicated		
	under item V-6 below. The applicant		
	declares that those additional designations are subject to confirmation		
	and that any designation which is not		
	confirmed before the expiration of 15		
	months from the priority date is to be regarded as withdrawn by the applicant		
	at the expiration of that time limit.		
V-6	Exclusion(s) from precautionary designations	NONE	
VI	Priority claim	NONE	
VII-1	International Searching Authority	United States Patent	and Trademark
	Chosen	Office (USPTO) (ISA/	US)
VIII	Check list	number of sheets	electronic file(s) attached
VIII-1	Request	4	_
VIII-2	Description	13	-
VIII-3	Claims	2	
VIII-4	Abstract	1	abstract.txt
VIII-5	Drawings	1	_
VIII-7	TOTAL	21	
	Accompanying items	paper document(s) attached	electronic file(s) attached
VIII-8	Fee calculation sheet	✓	
VIII-16	PCT-EASY diskette	_	diskette
VIII-17	Other (specified):	Return Receipt	-
•		Postcard	
VIII-18	Figure of the drawings which should accompany the abstract	1	
VIII-19	Language of filing of the international application	English	

_	CT	-	_	_		_	-

604.10-PCT

Original (for	SUBMISSION)	- printed or	12.10.1999	03:54:13 PM
---------------	-------------	--------------	------------	-------------

	Original (for SU	BMISSION) - printed on 12.10.1999 03:54:13 PM
IX-1	Signature of applicant or agent	Robert D. Fin
IX-1-1	Name (LAST, First)	FISH, Robert
	FOR	RECEIVING OFFICE USE ONLY
10-1	Date of actual receipt of the purported international application	
10-2	Drawings:	
10-2-1	Received	
10-2-2	Not received	
10-3	Corrected date of actual receipt due to later but timely received papers or drawings completing the purported international application	
10-4	Date of timely receipt of the required corrections under PCT Article 11(2)	
10-5	International Searching Authority	ISA/US
10-6	Transmittal of search copy delayed until search fee is paid	
	FOR INT	ERNATIONAL BUREAU USE ONLY
11-1	Date of receipt of the record copy by the International Bureau	

PCT (ANNEX - FEE CALCULATION SHEET)
Original (for SUBMISSION) - printed on 12.10.1999 03:54:13 PM

604.10-PCT

(This sheet is not part of and does not count as a sheet of the international application)

0	For receiving Office use only	T		
0-1	International Application No.			
0-2	Date stamp of the receiving Office		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
0-4	Form - PCT/RO/101 (Annex)			
V	PCT Fee Calculation Sheet			
0-4-1	Prepared using	PCT-EASY Version 2.84		
		(updated 01.0	7.1999)	
0-9	Applicant's or agent's file reference	604.10-PCT		
2	Applicant	ECOMMERCIAL.COM, INC., et al.		
12	Calculation of prescribed fees	fee amount/multiplier	total amounts (USD)	
12-1	Transmittal fee T	₽	240	
12-2	Search fee S	D)	700	
12-3	International fee			
	Basic fee		T.	•
	(first 30 sheets) b1	455		
12-4	Remaining sheets	0		
12-5	Additional amount (X)	10		
12-6	Total additional amount b2	0		
12-7	b1 + b2 = B	455	,	
12-8	Designation fees Number of designations contained	82		·
12-9	in international application Number of designation fees payable (maximum 10)	10		
12-10		105		
12-11	Total designation fees D	1,050	,	
12-12	PCT-EASY fee reduction R	-140		
12-13	Total International fee (B+D-R)	₽	1,365	
12-17	TOTAL FEES PAYABLE (T+S+I+P)	₽	2,305	
12-19	Mode of payment	cheque	- <u> </u>	
12-20	Deposit account instructions			
	The receiving Office:	United States	Patent and Tr	ademark
		Office (USPTO) (RO/US)		
12-20-2	is hereby authorized to charge any	√	, ,,,	
	deficiency or credit any over-payment in			
	the total fees indicated above to my	1. her	y cal	•
	deposit account	, , , , , ,		
12-20-3	is hereby authorized to charge the fee	✓		
	for preparation and transmittal of the priority document to the International Bureau of WIPO to my deposit account	Robert) Ful	
12-21	Deposit account No.	500341		
12-22	Date	12 October 1999 (12.10.1999)		

PCT (ANNEX - FEE CALCULATION SHEET)
Original (for SUBMISSION) - printed on 12.10.1999 03:54:13 PM

604.10-PCT

12-23	Name and signature	FISH, Robert
		R. he + DFine
		VALIDATION LOG AND REMARKS
13-2-4	Validation messages Priority	Green?
		No priority of an earlier application
		has been claimed. Please verify
13-2-6	Validation messages Contents	Yellow!
		The power of attorney or a copy of the
		general power of attorney will need to
		be furnished unless all applicants sign
		the request form.
13-2-7	Validation messages Fees	Green?
		Please confirm that fee schedule
		utilized is the latest available

WO 01/27810 PCT/US99/23781

AMENDED CLAIMS

[received by the International Bureau on 09 March 2000 (09.03.00) original claims 1, 5, 9 and 11-17 amended; remaining claims unchanged (2 pages)]

- A method of conducting an advertising campaign, comprising:
 selecting a plurality of targeted prospects for the campaign;
 identifying a marketing characteristic for at least some of the targeted prospects;
 defining a group of components to be included in the campaign, for which there are at
 least two alternatives;
 - producing a number of individualized commercials by automatically assembling at least one of the alternatives for each of the components in the group based at least in part upon on the marketing characteristic;
 - electronically transmitting at least one of the commercials to a recipient having the marketing characteristic upon which the commercial being transmitted was based.
- 2. The method of claim 1 wherein the step of electronically transmitting comprises transmitting at least some of the commercials as executable files.
- 3. The method of claim 2 wherein at least some of the executable files are authenticated.
- 4. The method of claim 2 wherein the marketing characteristic is selected from the group consisting of age, sex, and income.
- 5. The method of claim 2 wherein the marketing characteristic includes data obtained from information provided in response to a previous electronic commercial.
- 6. The method of claim 2 wherein the group of components includes at least three visual components and at least one audio component.
- 7. The method of claim 2 wherein at least one of the components comprises a video clip and at least another of the components comprises an audio clip.

WO 01/27810 PCT/US99/23781

8. The method of claim 2 wherein at least one of the components comprises a video clip and at least another of the components comprises an audio clip, and at least a third component comprises a branding graphic distinct from both the video clip and the audio clip.

- 9. The method of claim 2 wherein the variable component comprises a language employed on an audio clip.
- 10. The method of claim 2 wherein the step of electronically transmitting comprises sending an e-mail through the Internet.
- 11. The method of claim 2 wherein transmitting of at least 10% of the commercials occurs within 24 hours of their production.
- 12. The method of claim 2 wherein transmitting of at least 10% of the commercials occurs within 2 hours of their production.
- 13. The method of claim 2 wherein the step of producing comprises producing an average of at least one of the individualized commercials for every 500 of the targeted prospects.
- 14. The method of claim 2 wherein the step of producing comprises producing an average of at least one of the individualized commercials for every 50 of the targeted prospects.
- 15. The method of claim 2 wherein the step of producing comprises producing an average of at least one of the individualized commercials for every 10 of the targeted prospects.
- 16. The method of claim 2 wherein the marketing characteristics include age, sex, and income, and at least one of the components is a video clip and at least another of the components is an audio clip, and transmitting of at least 10% of the commercials occurs within 24 hours of their production.
- 17. The method of claim 15 wherein the step of producing comprises producing an average of at least one of the individualized commercials for every 500 of the targeted prospects.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No. PCT/US99/23781

	<u></u>					
A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC(6) :G06F 17/30; G06F 17/60						
US CL:705/14, 27 According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC						
B. FIELDS SEARCHED						
Minimum documentation searched (classification system for	ollowed by classification symbols)					
U.S. : 705/14, 27						
Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched NONE						
Electronic data base consulted during the international sear WEST	ch (name of data base and, where practicable,	search terms used)				
C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVA	NT					
Category* Citation of document, with indication, wh	here appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.				
X US 5,933,811 A (ANGLES et al thru col. 4, line 47, and col. 12,) 03 August 1999, col. 2, line 45 line 27 thru col. 14, line 26.	1-16				
-	US 5,918,014 A (ROBINSON) 29 June 1999, col. 1, line 65 thru col. 3, line 46, and col. 15, line 62 thru col. 16, line 67.					
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	US 5,717,923 A (DEDRICK) 10 February 1998, col. 2, lines 1-24, and col. 4, line 43 thru col. 6, line 63.					
A US 5,661,516 A (CARLES) 26 A	US 5,661,516 A (CARLES) 26 August 1997, entire document.					
A US 5,446,919 A (WILKINS) 29	US 5,446,919 A (WILKINS) 29 August 1995, entire document.					
		•				
Durby downstrand listed in the continuation of	Box C. See patent family annex.					
X Purther documents are listed in the continuation of	<u> </u>	emerional filing date or priority				
Special categories of cited documents: "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention						
to be of particular relevance "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be						
L document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other		seed to involve an inventive step				
special reason (as specified) *O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or means	considered to involve an inventive	step when the document is the documents, such combination				
P document published prior to the international filing date but lat the priority date claimed	er than •&• document member of the same pater	nt family				
Date of the actual completion of the international search Date of mailing of the international search report						
30 DECEMBER 1999 1 3 JAN 2000						
Name and mailing address of the ISA/US Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Box PCT Washington, D.C. 20231 Authorized officer ALLEN MACDONALD						
Washington, D.C. 20231 Pacsimile No. (703) 305-3230	Telephone No. (703) 308-9708	0/				

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No. PCT/US99/23781

		101/00/9/23/0				
C (Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT						
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages		Relevant to claim No			
A	US 5,412,416 A (NEMIROFSKY) 02 May 1995, entire document.		1-16			
A	JS 5,319,455 A (HOARTY et al) 07 June 1994, entire document.		1-16			
			Ī			
}						
	·	:				
		į				